

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/700,321	01/16/2001	Martin Weston	87805-9016	4846
23409 7	7590 05/09/2003	•		15.
MICHAEL BEST & FRIEDRICH, LLP			EXAMINER	
100 E WISCO MILWAUKEE	NSIN AVENUE E, WI 53202		TRAN, TRANG U	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			. 2614	
			DATE MAILED: 05/09/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

		Jen				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/700,321	WESTON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Trang U. Tran	2614				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	s6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 N	lovember 2000 .					
	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		osecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
-	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(a) or (t).				
a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	. hava hara arashir d					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	• •					
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 7.8. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:						
.S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Art Unit: 2614

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Objections

1. Claim 9 is objected to because of the following informalities: the phrase "according to claim 9" should be changed "according to claim 8". Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brailean (US Patent No. 5,802,218) in view of Klippel (US Patent No. 6,005,952).

In considering claim 1, Brailean discloses all the claimed subject matter, note 1) the claimed comprising the steps of conducting the linear filtering operations on an input video signal to produce filtered signals, linear filtering operation comprising the taking of a weighted sum of pixels is met by the post-processing filter 500 which suppress mosquito and blocking artifacts and performs resizing in accordance with the present invention (Fig. 5, col. 6, lines 5-53). However, Brailean explicitly does not discloses the claimed three linear filtering operations on an input video signal to produce three filtered signals, and multiplying together said three filtered signals to produce an output video signal. Klippel teaches that in the third-order branch the input 388 is connected to three

Art Unit: 2614

linear filters 392, 406 and 408, the output of these filters is multiplied by using the multipliers 402 and 410 and supplied to the linear filter 414 (Fig. 15, col. 10, line 65 to col. 11, line 18). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the third-order branch which includes three linear filters as taught by Klippel into Brailean's system in order to require a minimum of processing capacity in a digital signal processor (DSP) to keep the cost of the system low.

In considering claim 2, the claimed wherein said weighted sum is taken over pixels of the input video signal defined by a filter aperture is met by the post-processing filter 500 which suppress mosquito and blocking artifacts and performs resizing in accordance with the present invention (Fig. 5, col. 6, lines 5-53) of Brailean.

In considering claim 3, the claimed wherein all three linear filtering operations have the same filter aperture is met by the post-processing filter 500 which suppress mosquito and blocking artifacts and performs resizing in accordance with the present invention (Fig. 5, col. 6, lines 5-53) of Brailean.

In considering claim 4, the claimed wherein for at least one linear filtering operation, the taking of a weighted sum of pixels includes the output pixel of the respective linear filtering operation is met by the post-processing filter 500 which suppress mosquito and blocking artifacts and performs resizing in accordance with the present invention (Fig. 5, col. 6, lines 5-53) of Brailean.

In considering claim 5, the claimed wherein the product of two of said filtered signals is formed and a linear filtering operation conducted on that product, prior to

Art Unit: 2614

multiplication of said product by the third filtered signal is met by the multipliers 402 and 410 (Fig. 15, col. 10, line 65 to col. 11, line 18) of Klippel.

In considering claim 6, the claimed said three filtered signals are multiplied together without intervening filtering of the three filtered signals is met by the multipliers 402 and 410 (Fig. 15, col. 10, line 65 to col. 11, line 18) of Klippel.

In considering claim 7, the claimed wherein a further linear filtering operation is conducted in parallel on the input video signal, with the result of said further linear filtering operation being combined with the multiplication product of said three filtered signals to produce an output video signal is met by the linear filter 384 and the summer 398 (Fig. 15, col. 11, lines 3-14) of Klippel.

Claim 8 is rejected for the same reason as discussed in claims 1 and 5.

Claims 9-11 are rejected for the same reason as discussed in claims 2-4, respectively.

In considering claim 12, the combination of Brailean and Klippel disclose all the limitations of the instant invention as discussed in claim 8, except for providing the claimed wherein there is further provided a linear filter connected between the output of said first multiplier and the input to said second multiplier. Using the linear filter is old and well known in the art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the old and well known linear filter connected between the output of said first multiplier and the input to said second multiplier into the combination of Brailean and Klippel's system since it merely selecting available component.

Art Unit: 2614

In considering claim 13, the claimed wherein there is a direct connection between the output of said first multiplier and the input to said second multiplier is met by the multipliers 402 and 410 (Fig. 15, col. 10, line 65 to col. 11, line 18) of Klippel.

In considering claim 14, the claimed wherein the apparatus further comprises a linear filter path connected with the input terminal, and a combiner for combining the outputs of the linear filter path with the output of said second multiplier is met by the linear filter 384 and the summer 398 (Fig. 15, col. 11, lines 3-14) of Klippel.

In considering claim 15, the claimed wherein a filter is interposed between the output of the second multiplier and said combiner is met by the linear filter 414 (Fig. 15, col. 11, lines 3-14) of Klippel.

### Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Sita et al (US Patent No. 6,539,120 B1) disclose MPEG decoder providing multiple standard output signals.

Baudouin (US Patent No. 6,437,827 B1) discloses filtering video signals containing chrominance information.

Klippel (US Patent No. 5,438,625) discloses arrangement to correct the linear and nonlinear transfer behavior or electro-acoustical transducers.

Yan (US Patent No. 5,512,956) discloses adaptive spatial-temporal postprocessing for low bit-rate coded image sequences.

Art Unit: 2614

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 5. examiner should be directed to Trang U. Tran whose telephone number is (703) 305-0090.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John W. Miller, can be reached at (703) 305-4795.

## Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

### or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

TT TT

April 30, 2003